



Execution Quality Summary Statement (EQSS)
presenting information on the quality
of execution obtained for client orders in 2017
(BEST EXECUTION REPORT)

Original Issue Date:	30/04/2018
Current version issue date:	04/01/2019
Approver(s):	Board of Directors
Owner(s):	ARUMPRO CAPITAL LIMITED
Author:	Olga Christou
Classification:	Annual Best Execution Report
Operational Applicability:	Clientele
Geographic applicability:	Cyprus
Next Issue Date:	30/04/19
Version:	2.0
Other Languages:	N/A

Introduction

ArumPro Capital Limited ("Arum Capital" or "the Company") is a Cyprus Investment Company incorporated and registered under the laws of the Republic of Cyprus, with registration No. HE 352951. The Company is authorised and regulated by the Cyprus Securities and Exchange Commission ("CySEC") under the license No. 323/17 and operates under the Provision of Investment Services, the Exercise of Investment Activities, the Operation of Regulated Markets and Other Related Matters Law of 2017, Law 87(I)/2017, as subsequently amended from time to time (the Law). The Company's office is located at 2 Gregory Afxentiou, 2nd floor, office 201, Limassol, 4003, Cyprus.

Its operational model is an STP model, it transmits clients' orders for execution to an execution venue.

It is important to note that in 2017 the Company had a White Label Partner of an FCA-regulated investment firm.

Arum Capital is committed to continuously obtaining the best possible outcome for client orders. It is essential for the Company to enable clients and the public to evaluate the quality of the Company's execution practices and to identify the top five (or less if five are not applicable) execution venues where client orders are executed in terms of trading volumes. ARUM CAPITAL monitors the effectiveness of the order execution arrangements and regularly assess whether the Company's available execution venues continue to provide the best possible results for client orders. This report provides a summary of the analysis and conclusions drawn from the detailed monitoring of the quality of execution obtained when executing client orders.

2. Scope

All client orders where the company owes the client best execution under the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive 2014/65/EU ("MiFID II"). For a detailed breakdown of the asset classes for which the company owes a duty of best execution, please refer to the Order Execution Policy.

3. Summary of information - Contracts for Difference ("CFDs")

a) **An explanation of the importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution.**

In selecting the appropriate Execution Venue for a specific transaction, the company considers the following execution factors:

- available price in the market;
- transaction costs (including commission, execution, clearing and settlement costs);
- speed of execution;
- the likelihood of execution and settlement (depending on liquidity in the particular market);
- the size and nature of the transaction; and
- Any other consideration that the company deems to be relevant to the execution of that transaction.

The company takes into account the following criteria when determining the relative importance of the abovementioned execution factors:

- characteristics of the client;
- characteristics of the client's order (e.g. market, limit, stop);
- characteristics of the financial instrument(s) (e.g. commodity, currency, fixed income, equity);
- characteristics of the Execution Venues to which the order can be directed.

In general, the company regards price as being the most important execution factor when seeking to obtain the best possible outcome for clients. However, in certain circumstances the company may decide in its absolute discretion that the other factors mentioned above are more important than price.

b) A description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders.

The company does not have any close links or common ownership with respect to any external execution venues.

c) A description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.

Under the company's Order Execution Policy, we will not receive any remuneration, discount or non-monetary benefit for routing orders to a particular Execution Venue as this could give rise to a conflict of interest between the benefit received by the company and its obligation to achieve the most favorable terms for the client.

d) An explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.

There were no changes to the execution venues listed in the Order Execution Policy during 2017.

e) An explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats categories of clients differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements.

The company provides investment services to retail clients. The company will apply Best Execution to transactions with Retail and Professional Clients dealing in Financial Instruments where it accepts an order or where it has expressly agreed to provide Best Execution. The company's Order Execution Policy does not apply to Eligible Counterparties; accordingly, we will not owe Best Execution in transactions entered into with Eligible Counterparties. However, this does not diminish the company's obligation to act honestly, fairly and professionally and to communicate in a way that is fair, clear and not misleading when dealing with Eligible Counterparties.

f) An explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client.

- **Speed of Execution:**

The Company acts as principal and not as agent on the Customer's behalf; therefore, the Company is the sole Execution Venue for the execution of the Customer's orders for the Financial Instruments provided by the Company. The Company places a significant importance when executing Customer's orders and strives to offer a high speed of execution within the limitations of technology and communication links. The use of wireless connection or dial-up connection or any other form of unstable connection at the Customer's end, may result in poor or interrupted connectivity or lack of signal strength causing delays in the transmission of data between the Customer and the Company when using the Company's electronic trading platform. This may result in the placement of the Customer's order at an out of date price, which the Company might decline and provide the Customer with a new quote (i.e. re-quoting).

The average execution speed was 50 milliseconds.

- **Likelihood of Execution:**

The Company acts as principal and not as an agent on the Customer's behalf; therefore, the Company is the sole Execution Venue for the execution of the Customer's orders for the Financial Instruments provided by the Company. However, the Company relies on third party liquidity providers for prices and available liquidity. Although the Company executes all orders placed by the Customers, it reserves the right to decline an order

of any type. The Company shall proceed to a settlement of all transactions upon execution of such transactions.

- **Size of Order:**

All orders are placed in lot sizes. A lot is a unit measuring the transaction amount and it is different for each Financial Instrument. Details of the lot sizes are available on the Contract Specifications in the Company's website. Although there is no maximum order size that the Customer can place with the Company, the Company reserves the right to decline an order as explained in the agreement entered into with the Customer.

The Company makes every effort to fill the order of the Customer irrespective of the volume. But, if this is achieved, it may be at the best available price as the market liquidity may allow at the time of execution.

g) An explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution, including any data published under RTS 27.

In order to effectively implement a robust Best Execution compliance program, the Company monitors the quality of execution of client orders through various data and tools. The Company has implemented the following execution quality.

- Price Feed Monitoring against Market standards such as Thomson Reuters and Bloomberg.
- Regular independent reviews of execution quality arrangements and operating effectiveness of the monitoring.
- Periodic internal audit of the execution quality arrangements under Stage 1 and Stage 2 that aims to enhance the oversight of the Company's control environment.
- The goal is to ensure that clients are provided with the best possible results for their orders. To this end, the Company intends to conduct quarterly reviews of the fairness of the price provided to the client by gathering market data used in the estimation of the price of the products and comparing with similar or comparable products.

h) Where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU.

Not applicable.

CLASS OF INSTRUMENTS	Contracts for difference				
	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class	Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class	Percentage of passive orders	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)					
White Label Principal Liquidity provider for Portfolio Management (copy-trading)	99.97%	99.97%		99.97%	
	0.03%	0.03%		0.03%	

Quality of Execution Assessment

It explains how the Company has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution.

In order to effectively implement a robust Best Execution compliance program, ARUM CAPITAL monitors the quality of execution of client orders.

The Company has instituted three distinct stages for surveilling execution quality.

- Stage 1: Real time monitoring of execution and Execution Venue performance.
- Stage 2: Regular independent reviews of execution quality arrangements and operating effectiveness of the Stage 1 monitoring.
- Stage 3: Periodic internal audit of the execution quality arrangements under Stage 1 and Stage 2 that aims to enhance the oversight of the Company's control environment.

One of the main goals of each stage is to ensure that clients are provided with the best possible results for their orders. To this end, ARUM CAPITAL intends to conduct quarterly reviews of the fairness of the price provided to the client by gathering market data used in the estimation of the price of the products and comparing with similar or comparable products.